

Formal Request for Legislative Oversight into Alleged Fraud at the Court of Appeals

Tue, Jun 24, 2025 at 1:33 PM

Dear Members of the House Oversight Committee:

My name is Charity Mainville. I write to report urgent concerns involving procedural misconduct, judicial bias, and potential fraud within North Carolina's courts and administrative bodies. In the Court of Appeals, Clerk Eugene Soar has either issued orders without judicial review, routed cases to preferred judges, or filed orders never reviewed by any judge at all. This pattern appears systemic.

I've also uncovered unauthorized court fees. In reviewing 200 filings, I identified 45 with overcharges totaling \$900—excluding \$90 I personally paid for motion filings that are not fee-authorized under law. My motion for a refund was summarily denied.

Additionally, I've encountered obstruction under the North Carolina Public Records Law, including denials of my media request by both Clerk Soar and the AOC. I have simply asked for the names of the appellate judges who issued orders in my case—orders that appear to exceed their jurisdiction and conflict with established law.

All claims are supported by documentation and public evidence, which I've made available online and in a second [YouTube video](#) published this morning.

The level of emotional and verbal abuse I've endured for the last year—particularly from judges sworn to uphold justice—is deeply disturbing and traumatic. I've been told I'm incompetent, accused of being confused, hostile, combative, vexatious, even threatening and harassing. This treatment stands in stark contrast to the actual record: not a single one of my filings has been dismissed, stricken, or found legally deficient. Meanwhile, opposing counsel's filings have repeatedly included falsehoods, procedural violations, and errors—yet those are routinely ignored.

How would you feel if someone attacked your position in this assembly for a year straight? Straight up bullied you so you back down or give up despite knowing nothing about you? This was my introduction to North Carolina after moving here from Denver to pursue genetic research.

I hold a B.A. from the University of Wisconsin-Madison, was an award-winning magazine publisher, and work remotely in marketing, design, and consulting for clients in AI, manufacturing, and real estate. I've studied consumer law, criminal justice, constitutional rights, and worked in a police department for three years. I'm also neurodivergent—diagnosed with ADHD and autism—possessing gifted intelligence and exceptional executive functioning.

Questioning my intelligence because I haven't taken the bar is irrelevant and hypocritical. A license means nothing when the person holding it disregards the oath they swore to uphold. My filings and conduct have consistently demonstrated a stronger grasp of the rule of law than many of those entrusted to enforce it.

This all stems from a landlord-tenant case I brought after the City of Raleigh cited my rental for **14 housing code violations** and denied my request for reasonable accommodations. The landlord misrepresented the condition of the property, which I rented sight unseen. She has ties to wealth and political influence—her father has donated to campaigns of some General Assembly members—which appears to have insulated her from accountability. This attack against me has been a coordinated effort to deflect from the fact, she has no defense. She hasn't even provided one piece of evidence to support her accusations against my character.

She has obstructed two government investigations by submitting false information, including perjury. I have documentary proof. She is now evading a federal summons by listing a business address where she neither lives nor operates, violating corporate law. In North Carolina, does wealth and connections put you above the law?

I have filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint in federal court against Clerk Eugene H. Soar, Attorney David M. Yopp, Judges Eagles, Walczyk, and Davidian, along with three unnamed appellate judges, for violations of the First and Fourteenth Amendments and the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). I have also requested an order from the Middle District to compel disclosure of judicial names—delayed for over a month due to the judge's refusal to rule. This level of collusion threatens the integrity of the judiciary and constitutes a governance crisis.

The misconduct extends beyond the courts. Both the North Carolina Human Relations Commission and the Office of Administrative Hearings have committed procedural fraud—omitting key evidence, denying accommodations, and disregarding their own rules. I have asked HUD’s Office of Inspector General to investigate.

I did not choose to litigate across multiple forums. Each step became necessary only after state actors violated the law and denied remedies, while my former landlord retaliated and destroyed my 23-year record of a perfect rental history. Had the Attorney General’s Office fulfilled its statutory duty to investigate my original complaint, this would not have escalated.

Has North Carolina forgotten its own history of discrimination—one it paid for just a decade ago? I should not have to prove my worth to receive equal protection under the law.

I have not filed complaints with the Judicial Standards Commission against the judges named in my § 1983 action—not due to ignorance of the process, but due to a lack of faith in its effectiveness. I submitted grievances against both attorneys involved, including written proof that one lied under oath and violated professional rules. The State Bar dismissed the complaint without consequence.

Because judicial complaints are confidential, the public is left in the dark about whether accountability occurred. Even when sanctions are recommended, final approval lies with the North Carolina Supreme Court, which has excused unethical conduct.

I understand the procedures for initiating an investigation, but the systemic failures and lack of transparency have compelled me to bring this directly to your attention. For the record, Ryan S. Boyce, Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts, has been sent this email to ensure proper notice is on file.

Government functions through checks and balances—not unchecked judicial authority. While I recognize the judiciary is currently Republican-majority, this is not a partisan issue.

Misconduct in this matter spans political lines. What is occurring at the Court of Appeals—especially following recent election controversies—proves how dangerous it is when loyalty overtakes the Constitution. If this committee cannot confront that reality objectively, it betrays your oath and further erodes public trust in North Carolina’s institutions.

North Carolina recently secured a \$4.7 billion manufacturing investment projected to create over 14,000 jobs. What happens when these new residents encounter the same gatekeeping, bias, and systemic dysfunction I have? At a time when the state’s reputation is under national scrutiny, it cannot afford headlines about judicial misconduct, obstruction, and discrimination.

Accordingly, I formally request that this committee:

1. Open an immediate inquiry into the actions of the North Carolina Court of Appeals and related agencies, including a full audit of filing fee practices and Clerk Soar’s conduct and authority.
2. Hold a public hearing to investigate whether judicial protocols have been circumvented and whether retaliation or obstruction has occurred against a pro se litigant seeking lawful remedies.
3. Use your oversight authority to address the North Carolina Court of Appeals’ clear violation of law by demanding the release of the judicial panel names in Case No. P25-113 to me, the litigant, as required under the North Carolina Public Records Act and First Amendment access rights and refund my \$90 in unauthorized fees.

If the court has nothing to hide, these names should be released without delay. Continued refusal violates the North Carolina Public Records Act, the First Amendment, and blocks access to lawful redress. This concealment undermines transparency and public trust—and I will be raising these concerns with Congress as well, given the federal court’s complicity in this obstruction.

I want to be clear; these matters are serious and well-documented. I am committed to ensuring they are not overlooked. This email will also be sent to the NC Senate Judicial Committee and House Judiciary 1 Committee.

I have compiled a comprehensive judicial log detailing each violation, which is publicly available at <https://lessonsofcharity.com/civil-court-case> along with supporting documentation.

I am available to provide additional records or testimony upon request. I respectfully ask that you confirm receipt of this letter and advise me of the appropriate next steps to ensure accountability and resolution.

Sincerely,

Charity Mainville